Consfigurator: Lisp declarative configuration management

Sean Whitton

DebConf22

► Propellor, configuration management system using Haskell, written by Joey Hess, presented at DebConf14 and DebConf17.

- Propellor, configuration management system using Haskell, written by Joey Hess, presented at DebConf14 and DebConf17.
- Key ideas:
 - using Haskell's type system to find configuration problems before any hosts are touched
 - user's configuration of their hosts is expressed in the same language as the tool, not YAML or something.

- ► However
 - expressing configuration within the constraints of the type system often awkward and time consuming as compared with the number of bugs actually caught

► However

- expressing configuration within the constraints of the type system often awkward and time consuming as compared with the number of bugs actually caught
- only one method to apply properties to hosts: connect, compile Propellor on remote side, apply all its properties

However

- expressing configuration within the constraints of the type system often awkward and time consuming as compared with the number of bugs actually caught
- only one method to apply properties to hosts: connect, compile Propellor on remote side, apply all its properties
- what about hosts which can't compile Propellor, or compile it very slowly?

Project status

► Stable core API, deployments unlikely to break

Project status

- ► Stable core API, deployments unlikely to break
- Runs well on SBCL on Debian
- Should be portable to other Unix and Common Lisp implementations

Project status

- Stable core API, deployments unlikely to break
- Runs well on SBCL on Debian
- Should be portable to other Unix and Common Lisp implementations
 - Mostly a matter of ifdef'ing out Linux- and SBCL-specific features.

property some configuration a host can have or lack
host list of host attributes and list of properties
connection means of applying properties to host, e.g. :ssh
deployment host + connections
prerequisite data secrets, and other files that properties need

Consfigurator is just a Lisp library, no executables

- Consfigurator is just a Lisp library, no executables
- User's configuration of their hosts is just another Lisp library, your "consfig"

- Consfigurator is just a Lisp library, no executables
- User's configuration of their hosts is just another Lisp library, your "consfig"
- Typical usage
 - Loading Consfigurator and consfig into root Lisp defines hosts, properties and means of obtaining prerequisite data

- Consfigurator is just a Lisp library, no executables
- User's configuration of their hosts is just another Lisp library, your "consfig"
- ► Typical usage
 - ► Loading Consfigurator and consfig into root Lisp defines hosts, properties and means of obtaining prerequisite data
 - ► User then constructs and executes deployments at the root Lisp's REPL.

:POSIX properties perform only serial I/O, writing files and running shell commands

: POSIX properties perform only serial I/O, writing files and running shell commands

- :SSH, :SUDO can apply only :POSIX properties
 - Use properties to configure tiny hosts, shell accounts etc.

:POSIX properties perform only serial I/O, writing files and running shell commands

- :SSH, :SUDO can apply only :POSIX properties
 - Use properties to configure tiny hosts, shell accounts etc.
 - Lower startup overhead, so useful for quick tests.

:POSIX properties perform only serial I/O, writing files and running shell commands

- :SSH, :SUDO can apply only :POSIX properties
 - ▶ Use properties to configure tiny hosts, shell accounts etc.
 - Lower startup overhead, so useful for quick tests.
- :SBCL can apply both :POSIX and :LISP properties

:POSIX properties perform only serial I/O, writing files and running shell commands

- :SSH, :SUDO can apply only :POSIX properties
 - ▶ Use properties to configure tiny hosts, shell accounts etc.
 - Lower startup overhead, so useful for quick tests.
- :SBCL can apply both :POSIX and :LISP properties
 - Can be significantly faster as avoids roundtrips.

:POSIX properties perform only serial I/O, writing files and running shell commands

- :SSH, :SUDO can apply only :POSIX properties
 - Use properties to configure tiny hosts, shell accounts etc.
 - Lower startup overhead, so useful for quick tests.
- :SBCL can apply both :POSIX and :LISP properties
 - Can be significantly faster as avoids roundtrips.
 - Can do things that only a remote process can do.

:POSIX properties perform only serial I/O, writing files and running shell commands

- :SSH, :SUDO can apply only :POSIX properties
 - Use properties to configure tiny hosts, shell accounts etc.
 - Lower startup overhead, so useful for quick tests.
- :SBCL can apply both :POSIX and :LISP properties
 - Can be significantly faster as avoids roundtrips.
 - Can do things that only a remote process can do.
- Basic properties written explicitly, but most properties are compositions of other existing properties

:POSIX properties perform only serial I/O, writing files and running shell commands

- :SSH, :SUDO can apply only :POSIX properties
 - Use properties to configure tiny hosts, shell accounts etc.
 - Lower startup overhead, so useful for quick tests.
- :SBCL can apply both :POSIX and :LISP properties
 - Can be significantly faster as avoids roundtrips.
 - Can do things that only a remote process can do.
- Basic properties written explicitly, but most properties are compositions of other existing properties
 - Reduces how much Lisp you need to learn to get going.

- ► Arbitrary chaining, up to what makes sense.
- ► (:ssh :sbcl (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname"))

- Arbitrary chaining, up to what makes sense.
- ► (:ssh :sbcl (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname"))
 - In this case we start up a remote Lisp over SSH, and that process uses setns(2) to get into the LXC.

- Arbitrary chaining, up to what makes sense.
- ► (:ssh :sbcl (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname"))
 - In this case we start up a remote Lisp over SSH, and that process uses setns(2) to get into the LXC.
- (:ssh (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname") :sbcl)
 - Will use ssh and nsenter(1) to get a shell in the LXC, and then start up Lisp inside it.

- Arbitrary chaining, up to what makes sense.
- ► (:ssh :sbcl (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname"))
 - In this case we start up a remote Lisp over SSH, and that process uses setns(2) to get into the LXC.
- (:ssh (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname") :sbcl)
 - Will use ssh and nsenter(1) to get a shell in the LXC, and then start up Lisp inside it.
 - Much slower if you have more than one LXC!

- Arbitrary chaining, up to what makes sense.
- ► (:ssh :sbcl (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname"))
 - In this case we start up a remote Lisp over SSH, and that process uses setns(2) to get into the LXC.
- (:ssh (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname") :sbcl)
 - Will use ssh and nsenter(1) to get a shell in the LXC, and then start up Lisp inside it.
 - Much slower if you have more than one LXC!
- ((:ssh :user "root") :sbcl (:setuid :user "ntp"))

- Arbitrary chaining, up to what makes sense.
- ► (:ssh :sbcl (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname"))
 - In this case we start up a remote Lisp over SSH, and that process uses setns(2) to get into the LXC.
- (:ssh (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname") :sbcl)
 - Will use ssh and nsenter(1) to get a shell in the LXC, and then start up Lisp inside it.
 - Much slower if you have more than one LXC!
- ((:ssh :user "root") :sbcl (:setuid :user "ntp"))
 - Useful if you need to do things as several users.

- Arbitrary chaining, up to what makes sense.
- ► (:ssh :sbcl (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname"))
 - In this case we start up a remote Lisp over SSH, and that process uses setns(2) to get into the LXC.
- (:ssh (:lxc :name "my-lxc-hostname") :sbcl)
 - Will use ssh and nsenter(1) to get a shell in the LXC, and then start up Lisp inside it.
 - Much slower if you have more than one LXC!
- ((:ssh :user "root") :sbcl (:setuid :user "ntp"))
 - Useful if you need to do things as several users.
- Process inside LXC and process running as other user only have the secrets they're meant to have.

► The fact that deployments are themselves properties, and can thus be nested, is well suited to expressing many things traditionally done without the help of declarative configuration management

- ► The fact that deployments are themselves properties, and can thus be nested, is well suited to expressing many things traditionally done without the help of declarative configuration management
 - ▶ Building bootable disc images using the same host definitions

- ► The fact that deployments are themselves properties, and can thus be nested, is well suited to expressing many things traditionally done without the help of declarative configuration management
 - Building bootable disc images using the same host definitions
 - Doing OS installs when Consfigurator is running on a live system

- ► The fact that deployments are themselves properties, and can thus be nested, is well suited to expressing many things traditionally done without the help of declarative configuration management
 - Building bootable disc images using the same host definitions
 - Doing OS installs when Consfigurator is running on a live system
 - Dumping images to execute arbitrary Lisp and re-running them from cron.

Consfigurator and Debian

► Like Propellor, an attempt to incorporate contemporary ideas about programmable infrastructure and reproducible systems into Debian-style systems administration

Consfigurator and Debian

- ► Like Propellor, an attempt to incorporate contemporary ideas about programmable infrastructure and reproducible systems into Debian-style systems administration
- ➤ You can get a lot of benefits of NixOS/Guix without giving up on the maturity of the Debian archive, and the advantages of the way we do things

Consfigurator and Debian

- ► Like Propellor, an attempt to incorporate contemporary ideas about programmable infrastructure and reproducible systems into Debian-style systems administration
- You can get a lot of benefits of NixOS/Guix without giving up on the maturity of the Debian archive, and the advantages of the way we do things
- Consfigurator's flexibility in applying small numbers of properties rather than doing full builds, and switching between connection types, is a pretty nice incremental systems administration environment