

# Application of Ultimate Debian Database in Debian Pure Blends

Harvesting information about packages  
for specific work fields

Andreas Tille

Debian Conference 9

Cáceres, July 26, 2009

# Overview

## 1 Debian Pure Blends

- Short introduction
- Blends features
- Web tools

## 2 Ultimate Debian Database

- Short introduction
- Advantages of using UDD for Blends

## 3 Future

- Planned features for Blends
- TODO

## Rename: CDD → Debian Pure Blends

- Term Custom Debian Distributions was always misunderstood
- Main misunderstanding: CDD was regarded as “something else than Debian” even if people were told that it is a concept *inside* Debian explicitly
- Dropped the misleading name in favour of a name where you just have to read the docs
- **Debian Pure Blend (in short Blend)**: a subset of Debian that is configured to support a particular target group out-of-the-box.

## Reminder: Basic goal of Blends

- Debian > 22.000 packages
- Users interested in *subset*
- Groups of specialised users
- Easy installation and configuration
- While Debian stays general support specialists as well
- **No derivative** from Debian

*Basic idea: Do not make a separate distribution but make Debian fit for special purpose instead*

# Upstream - Debian Developer - User

- Tie a solid network of Debian developers, upstream developers (“developing experts”) and users
- Rationale: Experts in this field need help in build system / packaging
- Upstream anticipates enhancements of build system and security audit
- Finally support upstream developers to become Debian maintainers
- Penetrating specific work fields with Linux makes it even more acceptable in general

# Attracting people to use Blends

## Developers

- Acceptance of new methods higher if the techniques provided are convincing enough
- Simple way to categorise packages (“tasks files”)
- Key documentation feature
- QA pages (Bugs of relevant packages, DEHS planned)

## Users

- I18n-ed web pages displaying relevant packages
- Promoting software that builds a complete working environment
- Rise user interest by providing ready to install software in the context of their work field



# Building a set of metapackages

- Define set of dependency relations
- Verify availability of `Depends` / `Recommends`
- Packages unavailable in `main` will be turned into `Suggests`
- Create proper `debian/control` file to build valid metapackages
- Create `tasksel` control file `<BLEND>-tasks.desc`



# Tasks and bugs pages

- Providing information about packages of interest
- Reading tasks files from Blends SVN containing
  - Dependency relations of packages inside Debian
  - Preliminary package information / WNPP
- Gathering all available information about the package dependencies defined in the tasks file

# Intention of tasks pages

- Key entry point for users
- Quick overview about what's inside Debian regarding their specific work field
- First slight connection to DebTags (more to come)
- Turned out to be QA tool for developers as well

➔ Demo <http://blends.alioth.debian.org>

# Weighting bugs

- Try to find a measure for bugs of dependant packages
- Currently not normalised to the number of dependencies but rather regarding absolute number of bugs
- Weighting numbers for the different severities ranging from 10 for the RC bugs until 0 for wishlist bugs

## Example calculation

1 serious bug in dependent pkg:	$1 * 10 * 3 = 30$
2 important bugs in dependent pkg:	$2 * 5 * 3 = 30$
1 important bug in suggested pkg:	$1 * 5 * 1 = 5$
1 normal	$1 * 3 * 3 = 9$
1 minor	$1 * 1 * 3 = 3$
weighted sum =	<hr/> 77

# Colouring according bugs weight

Legend	
assessment	limit
excellent	5
<i>verygood</i>	10
good	30
<i>satisfactory</i>	50
<b>pass</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>bad</b>	<b>100</b>

- Metapackage can not be in status "good" if there is at least serious (or higher) bug in a dependant package
- Not "very good" if there is a RC bug in a suggested package
- Two RC bugs in suggested packages might qualify for "good" - if there are only a very view other bugs

# Content of UDD

- PostgreSQL database containing all structured information about various aspects of Debian packages including:
  - Control file information for binary and source packages
  - Bugs from the Debian BTS (+ Ubuntu Launchpad)
  - Popularity contest
  - History of uploads and migrations to testing
  - Lintian
  - DebTags
  - Orphaned packages
  - Carnivore
  - Packages in new queue
  - Debian Description Translation Project (DDTP)
  - Links to *screenshots*
  - **DEHS** (since 2 days 😊)
- Information updated via cron jobs from different public sources
- Also Ubuntu package information available but not relevant in Blends context

# Features

- Easy to write gatherers from new information sources
- DDTP, new queue and screenshots were actually injected to support more features of task pages of Blends
- Simple handling of package versions by `debversion` data type
- Just contains all relevant information about packages which are needed for Blends

# Situation before switching to UDD

- Formerly *Packages.gz* of only one Debian release (unstable) and one arch (i386) was parsed to get a reasonable ratio of performance for the intended purpose
- Large effort to download all DDTP translations
- BTS SOAP took it's time

# All information in one place

- Not only very quick access to packages information but also information about
  - all releases
  - all available versions
  - all architectures
  - information about packages in experimental
- DDTP was injected into UDD to speed up gathering description translations drastically
- BTS information with simple and quick `SELECT`



# New features

## UDD

- DDTP
- New queue
- [screenshots.debian.net](http://screenshots.debian.net)

## Tasks pages

- Popcon results
- DebTags
- Vcs usage
- New queue
- [screenshots.debian.net](http://screenshots.debian.net)

# More QA overviews

- Making use of new DEHS feature in UDD
- Lintian report overview
- Adding Ubuntu bugs

## Make *blends-dev* use UDD

- Build metapackages based on UDD information
- Thus enabling `architecture=any` metapackages
- Include tasks file information into UDD

# Try to establish technique

- Further enhancements (DEHS, lintian)
- Rewrite *blends-dev* to use UDD
- Make even more projects like DebiChem and Debian-GIS actively using the framework
- Try to bring back external projects to Debian by providing attractive tools

This talk can be found at  
<http://people.debian.org/~tille/talks/>  
Andreas Tille <tille@debian.org>