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- Every member can and should upload every team package
- Few members care for everything, others only for specific packages
- Uploader cares for specific packages, others will contribute randomly
- Team wide automatic packaging upgrades in Git (Janitor)
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Debian Med has attracted one developer per year

According to a *survey* in Wiki

- Debian Med has 45 DDs+DMs (not all active any more)
- 23 DDs *because* Debian Med exists
- 18 out of the 23 above extended their activity to other fields in Debian
- 13 out of the 23 above are currently active in Debian Med
- 3 DDs and 1 DM are new after the April-Hackathon
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Mentoring of the Month

- MoM is *work*
- hopefully it shows that this work is also *fun*
- mentor trades his spare time for the work of the student
- attempt to train "silent observers on the list"
- focus not only on technical details but also on learning to know relevant communication channels
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MoM Conclusions

- Time spent into mentoring is worth the effort
- No student for each month so the workload is bearable
- Students have just read recent documents which I did ten years ago → I can learn new stuff from them
- Major advantage: training upstream to pool their knowledge about the code with ours about packaging is **very efficient** for the hard packages
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Med-bio task of Debian Med
Sponsoring of Blends (SoB)

- **Blends concept remains widely unknown amongst newcomers**
- Newcomers might desperately seek for sponsors and simply do not know how to find one
- Kill two birds with one stone: Get the package sponsored after proving that you understood the Blends techniques
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1. You confirm to have understood the Blends principle (have read the Blends documentation, are member of some team on alioth, reading the relevant mailing list)

2. Your package is maintained in Salsa in the according Blends team space

3. Your package is listed on the Blends tasks pages (which is either because the package is inside Debian or in any Blends Git)

4. You are unable to find a sponsor on the specific Blends list even after posting there at least twice
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Top 10 Upoloaders of Debian Med team

- Andreas T:
- Nilesh P:
- Michael Robin C:
- Steffen M:
- Dylan A:
- Charles P:
- Étienne M:
- Sascha S:
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- Olivier S:
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Top 10 bug hunters of Debian Med packages

- Andreas T (Debian)
- Nilesh P
- Étienne M
- Michael Robin C
- Charles P
- Gert W
- Mathieu M
- Yaroslav H
- Olivier S
- Sascha S
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Top 10 committers to Debian Med VCS
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Maintainer per package relation in Debian Med
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Comparing team coverage of their packages

Maintainer per package in debian-science team

Maintainer per package in pkg-perl team
Inviting environment

In several talks at DebConf and in discussions before I have heard the argument that it is hard to find friends. But it is not. The answer is to create inviting teams.
Waking up in the morning and realising that somebody else has solved your problem from yesterday
In case you want to run your own team stats

There is a download script

```
#!/bin/sh -e
rsync -a -P \
  blends.debian.net:/var/backups/teammetrics/teammetrics.0.xz .
dropdb teammetrics || true
createdb teammetrics
xzcat teammetrics.0.xz | psql teammetrics
```

The database enables you to do more fine grained investigation of your team
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Commiters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 (Jan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shaded region signifies last six months before Alioth to Salsa migration.
Checking the theory of general "freeze depression"

- **Graph over all teams in team metrics**
- Start of freeze is marked by transition freeze (if exists)
- Some kind of artificial peaks (for instance in week 2021-04-12 with 3592 commits by pkg-js team)
- There are other peak examples outside freeze (for instance in week 2020-02-17 with 7315 commits by pkg-perl team)
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Pre-freeze activity

- Right before the freeze in January 2021 three teams did a lot of uploads right before the freeze (pkg-perl 916, ruby-extras 240, pkg-go 210)
- Similarly in Debian Med team with the all time peak some weeks before the freeze as mentioned above
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Slides will be on my talks page later

Andreas Tille <tille@debian.org>