

Package Acceptance in Debian

Challenges and Opportunities

Andreas Tille

Debian

Brest, 14. July 2025

Liberté
Égalité
Fraternité

Thank you.

Sorry.

This is a BoF

Please interrupt me at all time

Please also see the pad

<https://pad.dc25.debconf.org/p/3-package-acceptance-in-debian-challenges-and-o>
and feel free to add your ideas **at bottom**

- Tearing down hurdles
- Making people talk to each other
- Asking questions to learn
- Finally: DPL is responsible for delegations

- Tearing down hurdles
- Making people talk to each other
- Asking questions to learn
- Finally: DPL is responsible for delegations

- Tearing down hurdles
- Making people talk to each other
- Asking questions to learn
- Finally: DPL is responsible for delegations

- Tearing down hurdles
- Making people talk to each other
- Asking questions to learn
- Finally: DPL is responsible for delegations

- Great intro given by Luke Faraone

transcript

- Direct outcome: Accept + Bug report

→ Works if we talk to each other

- Great intro given by Luke Faraone
transcript
 - Direct outcome: Accept + Bug report
- Works if we talk to each other

- Great intro given by Luke Faraone
transcript
- Direct outcome: Accept + Bug report
- ➔ Works if we talk to each other

Limitation of DAK → manual processing

- Good chance to do repeated copyright review
- Technical review
- Bug: Please consider auto-accepting some classes of new binary packages
- Requesting MR for automatic NEW processing

Limitation of DAK → manual processing

- Good chance to do repeated copyright review
- Technical review
- Bug: Please consider auto-accepting some classes of new binary packages
- Requesting MR for automatic NEW processing

Limitation of DAK → manual processing

- Good chance to do repeated copyright review
- Technical review
- Bug: Please consider auto-accepting some classes of new binary packages
- Requesting MR for automatic NEW processing

Limitation of DAK → manual processing

- Good chance to do repeated copyright review
- Technical review
- Bug: Please consider auto-accepting some classes of new binary packages
- Requesting MR for automatic NEW processing

- Meeting held during DebCamp
- Hybrid format: onsite + remote participants
- Ftpmaster and developer community in one room

- Meeting held during DebCamp
- Hybrid format: onsite + remote participants
- Ftpmaster and developer community in one room

- Meeting held during DebCamp
- Hybrid format: onsite + remote participants
- Ftpmaster and developer community in one room

- Running suites
Fix issues as they come by
- Doing releases
In sync with several other teams
- Coding tooling
Python, PostgreSQL, Shell
- NEW processing (+ overrides, removals)
Main day-to-day work

- Running suites
Fix issues as they come by
- Doing releases
In sync with several other teams
- Coding tooling
Python, PostgreSQL, Shell
- NEW processing (+ overrides, removals)
Main day-to-day work

- Running suites
Fix issues as they come by
- Doing releases
In sync with several other teams
- Coding tooling
Python, PostgreSQL, Shell
- NEW processing (+ overrides, removals)
Main day-to-day work

- Running suites
Fix issues as they come by
- Doing releases
In sync with several other teams
- Coding tooling
Python, PostgreSQL, Shell
- NEW processing (+ overrides, removals)
Main day-to-day work

- "Packages wait long in queue – frustrating for contributors"
- Comments
 - Huge amount of work is actually done really fast → thanks a lot
 - More concerned about non-reliability of that speed
- Causes:
 - Multiple packages in review for long time
 - Large number of patches and reviews
 - Technical bottleneck in all processing on one host

- "Packages wait long in queue – frustrating for contributors"
- Comments
 - Huge amount of work is actually done really fast → thanks a lot
 - More concerned about non-predictability rather than speed
- Causes:
 - High number of packages (100000) → 100000/10000 = 10 packages per maintainer
 - Large number of packages per maintainer
 - Technical limitation: all processing on one host

- "Packages wait long in queue – frustrating for contributors"
- Comments
 - Huge amount of work is actually done really fast → thanks a lot
 - More concerned about non-predictability rather than speed
- Causes:

- "Packages wait long in queue – frustrating for contributors"
- Comments
 - Huge amount of work is actually done really fast → thanks a lot
 - More concerned about non-predictability rather than speed
- Causes:
 - Manual review of every file

- "Packages wait long in queue – frustrating for contributors"
- Comments
 - Huge amount of work is actually done really fast → thanks a lot
 - More concerned about non-predictability rather than speed
- Causes:
 - **Manual review** of every file
 - **Legal uncertainty** about export laws
 - **Technical bottleneck**: all processing on one host

- "Packages wait long in queue – frustrating for contributors"
- Comments
 - Huge amount of work is actually done really fast → thanks a lot
 - More concerned about non-predictability rather than speed
- Causes:
 - **Manual review** of every file
 - Legal uncertainty about export laws
 - Technical bottleneck: all processing on one host

- "Packages wait long in queue – frustrating for contributors"
- Comments
 - Huge amount of work is actually done really fast → thanks a lot
 - More concerned about non-predictability rather than speed
- Causes:
 - **Manual review** of every file
 - **Legal uncertainty** about export laws
 - **Technical bottleneck**: all processing on one host

- "Packages wait long in queue – frustrating for contributors"
- Comments
 - Huge amount of work is actually done really fast → thanks a lot
 - More concerned about non-predictability rather than speed
- Causes:
 - **Manual review** of every file
 - **Legal uncertainty** about export laws
 - **Technical bottleneck**: all processing on one host

- FTP team seen as a “black box”
- Limited visibility into package status
- IRC contact is possible, but underutilized
 - How many pings are OK and in what frequency?

- FTP team seen as a “black box”
- Limited visibility into package status
- IRC contact is possible, but underutilized
 - How many pings are OK and in what frequency?

- FTP team seen as a “black box”
- Limited visibility into package status
- IRC contact is possible, but underutilized
 - How many pings are OK and in what frequency?

- DFSG and Archive Teams versus internal split (code + processing roles)
- *Last onboarding call*
- Recently new trainee onboarded
- Team could use more people for any task
- Time based membership?

- DFSG and Archive Teams versus internal split (code + processing roles)
- *Last onboarding call*
 - Recently new trainee onboarded
 - Team could use more people for any task
 - Time based membership?

- DFSG and Archive Teams versus internal split (code + processing roles)
- *Last onboarding call*
- Recently new trainee onboarded
- Team could use more people for any task
- Time based membership?

- DFSG and Archive Teams versus internal split (code + processing roles)
- Last onboarding call
- Recently new trainee onboarded
- Team could use more people for any task
- Time based membership?

- DFSG and Archive Teams versus internal split (code + processing roles)
- Last onboarding call
- Recently new trainee onboarded
- Team could use more people for any task
- Time based membership?

- One main contributor
- Test suite could be enhanced
- Virtual machine preferred
- Proposal: second DAK “play” instance
- MRs welcome

- One main contributor
- Test suite could be enhanced
- Virtual machine preferred
- Proposal: second DAK “play” instance
- MRs welcome

- One main contributor
- Test suite could be enhanced
- Virtual machine preferred
- Proposal: second DAK “play” instance
- MRs welcome

- One main contributor
- Test suite could be enhanced
- Virtual machine preferred
- Proposal: second DAK “play” instance
- MRs welcome

- One main contributor
- Test suite could be enhanced
- Virtual machine preferred
- Proposal: second DAK “play” instance
- MRs welcome

- Point releases are well-documented and quick
- Full releases are knowledge-heavy
- Documentation exists, but not easy to follow
 - Should there be a delegated a documentation writer to write down information cruxial for the project?
- Suggestion: training release in virtual machine
- Major release required several teams
- Any volunteers "observing" next release?

- Point releases are well-documented and quick
- Full releases are knowledge-heavy
- Documentation exists, but not easy to follow
 - Should there be a delegated a documentation writer to write down information cruxial for the project?
- Suggestion: training release in virtual machine
- Major release required several teams
- Any volunteers "observing" next release?

- Point releases are well-documented and quick
- Full releases are knowledge-heavy
- Documentation exists, but not easy to follow
 - Should there be a delegated a documentation writer to write down information cruxial for the project?
- Suggestion: training release in virtual machine
- Major release required several teams
- Any volunteers "observing" next release?

- Point releases are well-documented and quick
- Full releases are knowledge-heavy
- Documentation exists, but not easy to follow
 - Should there be a delegated a documentation writer to write down information cruxial for the project?
- Suggestion: training release in virtual machine
- Major release required several teams
- Any volunteers "observing" next release?

- Point releases are well-documented and quick
- Full releases are knowledge-heavy
- Documentation exists, but not easy to follow
 - Should there be a delegated a documentation writer to write down information crucial for the project?
- Suggestion: training release in virtual machine
- Major release required several teams
- Any volunteers "observing" next release?

- Point releases are well-documented and quick
- Full releases are knowledge-heavy
- Documentation exists, but not easy to follow
 - Should there be a delegated a documentation writer to write down information crucial for the project?
- Suggestion: training release in virtual machine
- Major release required several teams
- Any volunteers "observing" next release?

- Seen as a bottleneck and opaque
- Currently handled mostly by one person
- Tooling demonstrated in BoF by Luke
- Ideas:
 - Review packages
 - Community QA
 - Better visibility on status and issues

- Seen as a bottleneck and opaque
- Currently handled mostly by one person
- Tooling demonstrated in *BoF by Luke*
- Ideas:

- Seen as a bottleneck and opaque
- Currently handled mostly by one person
- Tooling demonstrated in *BoF by Luke*
- Ideas:

- Tag packages

- Review packages

- Review packages

- Seen as a bottleneck and opaque
- Currently handled mostly by one person
- Tooling demonstrated in *BoF by Luke*
- Ideas:
 - Tag packages
 - *Gateway to NEW*
 - Better visibility on status and locks

- Seen as a bottleneck and opaque
- Currently handled mostly by one person
- Tooling demonstrated in *BoF by Luke*
- Ideas:
 - Tag packages
 - *Gateway to NEW*
 - Better visibility on status and locks

- Seen as a bottleneck and opaque
- Currently handled mostly by one person
- Tooling demonstrated in BoF by Luke
- Ideas:
 - Tag packages
 - Gateway to NEW
 - Better visibility on status and locks

- Seen as a bottleneck and opaque
- Currently handled mostly by one person
- Tooling demonstrated in BoF by Luke
- Ideas:
 - Tag packages
 - Gateway to NEW
 - Better visibility on status and locks

- New packages end up on a single host in US and do not leave this before being accepted by ftpmaster.
- Historically, U.S. export laws required Debian to notify authorities (BXA) about cryptographic software.
- Regulations have changed (since 2021), thus Debian likely no longer needs to report cryptographic packages—if we can ensure source and binary are published at the same time..
- Non-free packages may still require careful handling if they contain proprietary code or undisclosed crypto.
- **Open questions:**
 - Should reporting continue for non-free packages?
 - How do we check if source is already public?
 - Can we let more developers access the NEW queue?
- **Next steps:**

Debian is re-evaluating policies to match updated legal advice and ensure compliance while easing internal workflows.

- Legal consultations ongoing
- Consequences from the discussion need to be implemented
- Will host containing NEW queue outside US solve the problem?
- Pending questions holding up process reform
- Once solved this will open wide range of options
 - e.g. replacing a user's own mailing list
 - Second host might enable parallel work
 - Will be friendly for new users

- Legal consultations ongoing
- Consequences from the discussion need to be implemented
- Will host containing NEW queue outside US solve the problem?
- Pending questions holding up process reform
- Once solved this will open wide range of options

● If implemented a Debian team leading

● A second team might provide external work

● A third team might be needed

- Legal consultations ongoing
- Consequences from the discussion need to be implemented
- Will host containing NEW queue outside US solve the problem?
- Pending questions holding up process reform
- Once solved this will open wide range of options

- Legal consultations ongoing
- Consequences from the discussion need to be implemented
- Will host containing NEW queue outside US solve the problem?
- Pending questions holding up process reform
- Once solved this will open wide range of options
 - Downloading + using own tooling

- Legal consultations ongoing
- Consequences from the discussion need to be implemented
- Will host containing NEW queue outside US solve the problem?
- Pending questions holding up process reform
- Once solved this will open wide range of options
 - Downloading + using own tooling
 - Second host might enable parallel work
 - More friendly for newcomers

- Legal consultations ongoing
- Consequences from the discussion need to be implemented
- Will host containing NEW queue outside US solve the problem?
- Pending questions holding up process reform
- Once solved this will open wide range of options
 - Downloading + using own tooling
 - Second host might enable parallel work
 - More friendly for newcomers

- Legal consultations ongoing
- Consequences from the discussion need to be implemented
- Will host containing NEW queue outside US solve the problem?
- Pending questions holding up process reform
- Once solved this will open wide range of options
 - Downloading + using own tooling
 - Second host might enable parallel work
 - More friendly for newcomers

- Legal consultations ongoing
- Consequences from the discussion need to be implemented
- Will host containing NEW queue outside US solve the problem?
- Pending questions holding up process reform
- Once solved this will open wide range of options
 - Downloading + using own tooling
 - Second host might enable parallel work
 - More friendly for newcomers

- Public IRC for contact between ftpmaster & developers
- Private IRC used for internal coordination
- MRs on Salsa
- Public call: Tell us what help is needed!

- Public IRC for contact between ftpmaster & developers
- Private IRC used for internal coordination
- MRs on Salsa
- Public call: Tell us what help is needed!

- Public IRC for contact between ftpmaster & developers
- Private IRC used for internal coordination
- MRs on Salsa
- Public call: Tell us what help is needed!

- Public IRC for contact between ftpmaster & developers
- Private IRC used for internal coordination
- MRs on Salsa
- Public call: Tell us what help is needed!

- Vision needs to be specified
- New members might bring in new ideas
- Enhancing documentation and communication
- Stronger connection between ftpmaster and developer community

- Vision needs to be specified
- New members might bring in new ideas
- Enhancing documentation and communication
- Stronger connection between ftpmaster and developer community

- Vision needs to be specified
- New members might bring in new ideas
- Enhancing documentation and communication
- Stronger connection between ftpmaster and developer community

- Vision needs to be specified
- New members might bring in new ideas
- Enhancing documentation and communication
- Stronger connection between ftpmaster and developer community

- Move new package processing to a **separate host**
- Make the *Documentation for the review process in Salsa more public*
- Allow more participation in processing

- Move new package processing to a **separate host**
- Make the *Documentation for the review process in Salsa more public*
- Allow more participation in processing

- Move new package processing to a **separate host**
- Make the *Documentation for the review process in Salsa more public*
- Allow more participation in processing

- Review process has room for improvement
- Poor communication about review queue status
- Reliance on a single host restricts scalability
- Legal issues stall technical redesign
- More developer help is needed for archive tools

- Review process has room for improvement
- Poor communication about review queue status
- Reliance on a single host restricts scalability
- Legal issues stall technical redesign
- More developer help is needed for archive tools

- Review process has room for improvement
- Poor communication about review queue status
- Reliance on a single host restricts scalability
- Legal issues stall technical redesign
- More developer help is needed for archive tools

- Review process has room for improvement
- Poor communication about review queue status
- Reliance on a single host restricts scalability
- Legal issues stall technical redesign
- More developer help is needed for archive tools

- Review process has room for improvement
- Poor communication about review queue status
- Reliance on a single host restricts scalability
- Legal issues stall technical redesign
- More developer help is needed for archive tools

- We need volunteers to join the team
- Once blockers are solved the team
 - Finds consensus for a new policy
 - Develops tools to implement this policy

- We need volunteers to join the team
- Once blockers are solved the team
 - Finds consensus for a new policy
 - Develops tools to implement this policy

- We need volunteers to join the team
- Once blockers are solved the team
 - Finds consensus for a new policy
 - Develops tools to implement this policy

- We need volunteers to join the team
- Once blockers are solved the team
 - Finds consensus for a new policy
 - Develops tools to implement this policy

- 1 **Finalize legal questions** with lawyers
- 2 Improve **documentation** of review workflow
- 3 Start **technical work** to split processing host
- 4 Recruit **contributors** for archive tool development

- 1 **Finalize legal questions** with lawyers
- 2 **Improve documentation** of review workflow
- 3 **Start technical work** to split processing host
- 4 **Recruit contributors** for archive tool development

- 1 **Finalize legal questions** with lawyers
- 2 **Improve documentation** of review workflow
- 3 **Start technical work** to split processing host
- 4 **Recruit contributors** for archive tool development

- 1 **Finalize legal questions** with lawyers
- 2 **Improve documentation** of review workflow
- 3 **Start technical work** to split processing host
- 4 **Recruit contributors** for archive tool development

- <https://ftp-master.debian.org/>
- https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/FTPMaster#Get_involved
- <https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/FTPMaster/CommunityGuidelines>
- [DAK](#)
- [Grow your ideas: Rethink or clarify the objectives of NEW queue review](#)

- <https://ftp-master.debian.org/>
- https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/FTPMaster#Get_involved
- <https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/FTPMaster/CommunityGuidelines>
- [DAK](#)
- [Grow your ideas: Rethink or clarify the objectives of NEW queue review](#)

- <https://ftp-master.debian.org/>
- https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/FTPMaster#Get_involved
- <https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/FTPMaster/CommunityGuidelines>
- [DAK](#)
- [Grow your ideas: Rethink or clarify the objectives of NEW queue review](#)

- <https://ftp-master.debian.org/>
- https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/FTPMaster#Get_involved
- <https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/FTPMaster/CommunityGuidelines>
- [DAK](#)
- [Grow your ideas: Rethink or clarify the objectives of NEW queue review](#)

- <https://ftp-master.debian.org/>
- https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/FTPMaster#Get_involved
- <https://wiki.debian.org/Teams/FTPMaster/CommunityGuidelines>
- [DAK](#)
- [Grow your ideas: Rethink or clarify the objectives of NEW queue review](#)

Applause.

Slides available at
<https://people.debian.org/~tille/talks/>
Andreas Tille <tille@debian.org>

